

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,496		08/04/2003	Thomas A. Bonasera	87534-7600	87534-7600 8672	
28765	7590	05/31/2006		EXAMINER		
WINSTON			TELLER, ROY R			
1700 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE			
•				1654	1654	

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/634,496	BONASERA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Roy Teller	1654					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE METERS TO THE METERS THE ME	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. sely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u>	av 2006						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the n	narite ie				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	,						
Disposition of Claims	,						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.							
,							
 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-38</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 							
· <u> </u>							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 39</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR	l 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO)-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			tage				
application from the International Bureau	· •		· ·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/03</u> .	6) Other:	orani i ppilodilon (i 10°)	····				

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the election, received 5/3/06, in which applicant elected group I, claims 1-17 and 39; and elected species-formula 10: DTPA-GABA-Dab-Phe-Trp-Dtrp-Lys-Thr-Phe-GlyC3-NH2.

Claims 18-38 are withdrawn as being drawn to a non-elected invention. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claims 1-17 and 39 are pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement, received 8/4/03, is acknowledged. A signed copy is enclosed hereto.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hornik et al. (USPN 6,051,554)

The instant invention is drawn to a backbone cyclized analog of somatostatin of three to twenty-four amino acids that incorporates at least one building unit, said building unit containing one nitrogen atom of the peptide backbone connected to a bridging group comprising an amide, thioether, thioester, disulfide, urea, carbamate, or sulfonamide, wherein at least one building unit is connected via the bridging group to form a cyclic structure with a moiety selected from the group consisting of a second building unit, the side chain of an amino acid residue of the sequence or a terminal amino acid residue, further comprising a chelating moiety covalently bound to said backbone cyclized analog.

Hornik teaches backbone cyclized somatostatin analogs comprising a peptide sequence of four to twelve amino acids that incorporate at least two building units, each of which contains one nitrogen atom of the peptide backbone connected to a bridging group comprising an amide, thioester, or disulfide, wherein the at least two building units are connected to the bridging unit to form a cyclic structure, see, i.e., for example, abstract, column 6-8, and claims 1-15.

Therefore, the reference is deemed to anticipate the instant claims above.

Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dean et al. (USPN 5,871,711).

The instant invention is drawn to a kit for preparing a scintigraphing imaging agent for imaging sites within the mammalian body, said kit comprising a backbone cyclized analog of somatostatin and a chelating moiety covalently bound to said backbone cyclized analog.

Dean teaches radioactively labeled somatostatin derived peptides for imaging sites in a mammalian body, see, i.e., for example, abstract, columns 4-8, and claims 1-50.

Therefore, the reference is deemed to anticipate the instant claims above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-17 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hornik et al. (USPN 6,051,554) in view of Dean et al. (USPN 5,871,711).

The references are relied upon for the reasons discussed supra.

Based upon the beneficial overall teachings provided by Hornik with respect to such backbone cyclized analog of somatostatin, if not expressly taught, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to adjust particular conventional working conditions therein (a kit for preparing a scintigraphing imaging agent for imaging sites within the mammalian body, said kit comprising a backbone cyclized analog of

somatostatin)-i.e., the adjustment of particular conventional working conditions is deemed merely a matter of judicious selection and routine optimization which is well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

From the teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention.

Therefore, the invention as a whole was *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Conclusion

All claims are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy Teller whose telephone number is 571-272-0971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 5:30am to 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RT 1654 5/24/06

RT

Cecilia J. Tsang
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600